

SYN 09/748,345
Art Unit 2622
Atty Dkt 10002593-1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 20 and 25-38 were pending in the application prior to this response. Claims 1, 29 and 34 have been amended herein. Claims 20, 25-28, 30, 35 and 36 have been canceled. Claims 2, 4-6, 31-33, 37 and 38 remain in the application unchanged. New claims 39-54 have been added. Accordingly, after entry of this amendment, claims 1, 2, 4-6, 29, 31-34 and 37-54 will be pending. Reexamination and reconsideration are requested.

S/N 09/748,345
Art Unit 2622
Atty Dkt 10002593-1

I. Rejection of Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 20, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31-34, 37 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 20, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31-34, 37 and 38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Sawanobori (U.S. 6,486,980). Reexamination and reconsideration are requested.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 28

Applicant's claim 1, as amended herein, recites the following:

A device comprising:
a frame;
a lid movably attached to said frame, said lid being movable between a closed position and an open position;
a display mounted to said lid;
a photosensor array movably mounted within said frame; and
wherein said display is visible when said lid is in said closed position.

Claim 1 has been amended, as indicated above, to recite that the photosensor array is movably mounted within the frame. This limitation is fully supported by applicant's originally-filed application with reference, for example, to drawing Fig. 2 and the written specification at page 7, lines 13-29. No new matter has been added.

Applicant respectfully asserts that claim 1, as amended, is not anticipated by Sawanobori. In the Sawanobori device, an LCD unit 30 (e.g., Figs. 1-3) is movably mounted to a body 20. The LCD unit 30 includes a display 31. A scanner unit 40 is also movably mounted to the body 20. Thus,

Page 8 of 15

S/N 09/748,345
Art Unit 2622
Atty Dkt 10002593-1

Sawanobori does not disclose or suggest a lid having a display movably mounted to a frame *and* a photosensor array movably mounted within the frame as now recited in claim 1. Accordingly, claim 1, as amended, is not anticipated by Sawanobori.

Claims 2 and 4-6 are allowable at least as depending from allowable base claim 1. Claim 28 has been canceled.

Claims 20, 25 and 26

Claims 20, 25 and 26 have been canceled.

Claims 29 and 31-33

Independent claim 29, as amended herein, recites the following:

A system comprising:
a frame;
a lid movably attached to said frame, said lid being movable between a closed position and an open position;
a display mounted to said lid;
a photosensor array movably mounted within said frame; and
an object to be scanned by said photosensor array;
wherein at least a portion of said lid is directly adjacent at least a portion of said object when said lid is in said closed position.

Claim 29 has been amended, as indicated above, to recite that the photosensor array is movably mounted within the frame in a manner similar to

S/N 09/748,345
Art Unit 2622
Atty Dkt 10002593-1

the amendment to claim 1, as discussed above. Accordingly, claim 29 is allowable for at least the reasons advanced above with respect to claim 1.

Claims 31-33 are allowable at least as depending from allowable base claim 29.

Claims 34, 37 and 38

Independent claim 34, as amended herein, recites the following:

A method comprising:

providing a device comprising a frame, a photosensor array movably mounted within said frame, a lid movably attached to said frame and a display mounted to said lid, wherein said lid is movable between a closed position and an open position;

moving said lid to said closed position such that at least a portion of said lid is positioned directly adjacent at least a portion of an object; and

scanning said object with said photosensor array while said lid is in said closed position and said at least a portion of said lid is positioned directly adjacent said at least a portion of said object by moving said photosensor array within said frame.

Claim 34 has been amended, as indicated above, to recite that the photosensor array is movably mounted within the frame in a manner similar to the amendment to claim 1, as discussed above. Accordingly, claim 34 is allowable for at least the reasons advanced above with respect to claim 1.

S/N 09/748,345
Art Unit 2622
Atty Dkt 10002593-1

Claims 37 and 38 are allowable at least as depending from allowable
base claim 34.

S/N 09/748,345
Art Unit 2622
Atty Dkt 10002593-1

II. Rejection of Claims 27, 35 and 36 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 27, 35 and 36 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Sawanobori (U.S. 6,486,980) in view of Ma (U.S. 6,078,407). Claims 27, 35 and 36 have been canceled.

S/N 08/748,345
Art Unit 2822
Atty Dkt 10002593-1

III. New Claims 39-54

New claims 39-54 are fully supported by the originally-filed application.
No new matter has been added.

New claim 39 recites the following:

39. (new) The device of claim 1 and further wherein:
an image is displayed on said display when said lid is in said
closed position.

This claim is fully supported with reference, for example, to applicant's
written specification at page 11, lines 23-35. New claims 44 and 49 are
similar to claim 39 and, thus, are also fully supported.

New claim 40 recites the following:

40. (new) The device of claim 1 and further wherein:
a menu of options is displayed on said display.

This claim is fully supported with reference, for example, to applicant's
written specification at page 11, lines 23-35. New claims 45 and 50 are
similar to claim 40 and, thus, are also fully supported.

New claim 41 recites the following:

41. (new) The device of claim 40 wherein one of said options is
for uploading an image to the Internet.

This claim is fully supported with reference, for example, to applicant's

Page 13 of 15

S/N 09/748,345
Art Unit 2822
Atty Dkt 10002593-1

written specification at page 12, lines 4-14. New claims 46 and 51 are similar to claim 41 and, thus, are also fully supported.

New claim 42 recites the following:

42. (new) The device of claim 40 wherein one of said options is for uploading or downloading an image via wireless communication.

This claim is fully supported with reference, for example, to applicant's written specification at page 12, line 15 - page 13, line 15. New claims 47 and 52 are similar to claim 42 and, thus, are also fully supported.

New claim 43 recites the following:

43. (new) The device of claim 1 and further comprising:
a removable memory card device.

This claim is fully supported with reference, for example, to applicant's written specification at page 13, lines 21-26. New claims 48 and 53 are similar to claim 43 and, thus, are also fully supported.

New claim 54 recites the following:

54. (new) The method of claim 34 and further:
wherein said scanning causes an image of said object to be generated; and
using said device to transmit said image to a remote location.

Page 14 of 15

S/N 09/748,345
Art Unit 2822
Atty Dkt 10002593-1

This claim is fully supported with reference, for example, to applicant's written specification at page 15, lines 9-16.

For the reasons advanced above, applicant respectfully asserts that all of the claims are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,
KLAAS, LAW, O'MEARA & MALKIN, P.C.

By 

Michael A. Goodwin, Reg. No. 32,697
KLAAS, LAW, O'MEARA & MALKIN, P.C.
1999 Broadway, Suite 2225
Denver, CO 80202
Telephone: (303) 298-9888
Fax: (303) 297-2266
Date: January 13, 2005

Page 15 of 16